

## **REMARKS**

In view of the following discussion, the Applicants submit that none of the claims now pending in the application is obvious under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §103. Thus, the Applicants believe that all of these claims are in allowable form.

### **I. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-64 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103**

Claims 1-64 stand rejected as being unpatentable over the Agarwal et al. application (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0179486, published September 16, 2004, hereinafter referred to as "Agarwal") in view of the Afek et al. application (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0212572, published September 21, 2006, hereinafter referred to as "Afek"). In response, the Applicants have cancelled claims 1-64 without prejudice. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 1-64 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is moot.

### **II. ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER**

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the comments regarding the allowance of claim 65. The Applicants note that claim 65 has been amended to clarify the present invention; however, the Applicants respectfully submit that this amendment should not affect the status of claim 65 as allowable.

### **III. NEW CLAIMS**

The Applicants have added new claims 66-116. Claims 66-81 depend from allowed claim 65 and recite at least all of the same features recited in claim 65. As such, the Applicants respectfully submit that claims 66-81 are in allowable form. Claims 82-98 recite the subject matter of claims 65-81 in the form of a computer readable storage medium. As independent claim 82 recites at least all of the same features recited in allowed claim 65, the Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 82, and claims 83-98 that depend therefrom, are also in allowable form. Claims 99-115 recite the subject matter of

claims 65-81 in the form of an apparatus. As independent claim 99 recites at least all of the same features recited in allowed claim 65, the Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 99, and claims 100-115 that depend therefrom, are also in allowable form. Claim 116 is an independent claim that recites many of the same features recited in allowed claim 65, and the Applicants believe that independent claim 116 is also in allowable form.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

Thus, the Applicants submit that all of the presented claims fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §103. Consequently, the Applicants believe that all these claims are presently in condition for allowance. Accordingly, both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issue are earnestly solicited.

If, however, the Examiner believes that there are any unresolved issues requiring the maintenance of the final action in any of the claims now pending in the application, it is requested that the Examiner telephone Kin-Wah Tong, Esq. at (732) 842-8110 x130 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

October 26, 2009

Date



Kin-Wah Tong, Attorney  
Reg. No. 39,400  
(732) 842-8110 x130

Wall & Tong, LLP  
595 Shrewsbury Avenue  
Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702